

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KAREEM LATIFI,

Plaintiff,

v.

JIM COOPER, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2: 23-cv-0665 KJN P

ORDER & FINDINGS &
RECOMMENDATIONS

By order filed May 22, 2023, plaintiff was granted thirty days to either file a second amended complaint or to notify the court as to which of the two claims raised in the amended complaint on which he would like to proceed. Thirty days from that date have passed, and plaintiff has not filed a second amended complaint or notified the court as to which of the two claims raised in the amended complaint on which he would like to proceed.


Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court shall appoint a district judge to this action; and

IT IS HEREBY RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections

1 with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned
2 “Objections to Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that
3 failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District
4 Court’s order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

5 Dated: June 29, 2023

6 
7 _____
8 KENDALL J. NEWMAN
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
10

11 Lat665.fta
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28